<u>Disclaimer</u>: The following is a non-binding translation of the original Hebrew document. It is provided by **HaMoked: Center** for the **Defence of the Individual** for information purposes only. The original Hebrew prevails in any case of discrepancy. While every effort has been made to ensure its accuracy, **HaMoked** is not liable for the proper and complete translation nor does it accept any liability for the use of, reliance on, or for any errors or misunderstandings that may derive from the English translation. For queries about the translation please contact <u>site@hamoked.org.il</u>

Freedom of Information Law

[Emblem]
State of Israel

[Emblem]
Population and immigration
Authority

7 Tishrei, 5778 27 September, 2017 2017-00017182

To

Adv. Benjamin Agsteribbe Via the Attorney's Office

Re: Application under the Freedom of Information Law, 5758-1998

The Minister of Interior's decision to upgrade 2,104 sponsored individuals undergoing family unification processes, HCJ 813/14 et al.

State's notice of: 11.4.2016 and 4.8.2016 Notice in the Population Authority's website of 13.6.2016

In response to your application on the above-captioned subject, here is the response of the professional entities in our office:

The figures were extracted from the computerized system according to the specification described at the beginning of the response. We wish to clarify that, like any extraction from a complex data system, there may be a standard deviation, so presumably the figures are not absolutely accurate.

First, it should be noted that the following breakdowns were made according to the group number which is **1996** sponsored individuals.

- 1. (a) 789 sponsored married to citizens.
  - (b) **1207** sponsored married to permanent residents.
  - (c) All of the sponsored who meet the criteria received summons for status upgrade; applications which were refused due to security and criminal reasons received refusal letters.

## 2. Regarding sponsored individuals belonging to the group and married to residents:

- (a) 1156 are treated at the Population Authority bureau in East Jerusalem.
- (b) **51** are treated at Population Authority bureaus across the country.

## 3. Regarding sponsored individuals belonging to the group and married to citizens:

- (a) The status of **569** sponsored who are married to citizens was upgraded.
- (b) (c) [sic] The status of 215 sponsored was not upgraded of them 6 were not upgraded due to a security preclusion; 8 were not upgraded due to a criminal preclusion; 198 were not upgraded because they did not meet the criteria stipulated in the Minister's decision (among the reasons: center of life, abandonment, death, divorce); 1 was taken out of the process due to a security preclusion; 2 were taken out of the process due to a criminal preclusion.

## 4. Regarding sponsored individuals married to residents and treated in the East Jerusalem bureau:

- (a) The status of **975** sponsored who are married to residents and treated in the East Jerusalem bureau was upgraded.
- (b) (c) [sic] The status of 181 sponsored was not upgraded of them 22 were not upgraded due to a security preclusion; 6 were not upgraded due to a criminal preclusion; 151 were not upgraded because they did not meet the criteria stipulated in the Minister's decision (among the reasons: center of life, abandonment, death, divorce); 1 was taken out of the process due to a security preclusion; 1 were taken out of the process due to a criminal preclusion.

## 5. Regarding sponsored individuals married to residents and treated in other bureaus:

- (a) The status of **29** sponsored who are married to residents and treated in other bureaus was upgraded.
- (b) The status of **22** was not upgraded because they did not meet the criteria stipulated in the Minister's decision (among the reasons: abandonment, death, divorce).
- The data on those who were not upgraded, includes a small number of sponsored who have not yet completed the examination/upgrade.

Sincerely,
[ – ]

Mali Davidian

Freedom of Information Law Supervisor

According to Section 7(e) of the Freedom of Information Law, 5758-1998, a petition may be filed against this decision to the Court for Administrative Affairs.