

ANDRE ROSENTHAL | ADVOCATE

Disclaimer: The following is a non-binding translation of the original Hebrew document. It is provided by **HaMoked: Center for the Defence of the Individual** for information purposes only. The original Hebrew prevails in any case of discrepancy. While every effort has been made to ensure its accuracy, **HaMoked** is not liable for the proper and complete translation nor does it accept any liability for the use of, reliance on, or for any errors or misunderstandings that may derive from the English translation. **For queries about the translation please contact site@hamoked.org.il**

July 7, 2015
Ref: 2808

To:
GOC Home Front Command
Via legal department
By fax

Dear Sir,

Re: Home of ___ Abu Jamal, HCJ 8066/14

1. We write to you in light of your decision to seal the home ___ Abu Jamal two days ago.
2. It is our view that any action against the home in which ___ Abu Jamal lived that is taken today fails to meet the tests cited by you, via the State Attorney's Office, that would justify use of Regulation 119.
3. As argued by the State Attorney's Office in section 26 of the response to the petition:

Using the sanction of house demolitions is a direct outcome of circumstances of time and place.

The same section also includes a quote from a 1988 judgment, HCJ 358/88 **The Association for Civil Rights in Israel v. GOC Central Command** –

There is no security without law enforcement, and law enforcement will not be successful and will not be effective if it does not also have a deterrent effect.

4. It is your contention that deterrence was the reason for the issuance of the order under Regulation 119 of the Defense (Emergency) Regulations 1945. The need for deterrence was the reason the Supreme Court rejected any allegation made by the Petitioners.
5. It is difficult to understand now, in the beginning of July 2015, how a punitive action against the ___ Abu Jamal home could be a deterrent, given that the attacker perpetrated the attack in November 2014.
6. I ask you to reconsider the intent to harm the ___ Abu Jamal home.
7. I thank you for your prompt response so that we may consider how to proceed.

Sincerely

Andre Rosenthal | Advocate

ANDRE ROSENTHAL | ADVOCATE

CC: Adv. Aner Helman, State Attorney's Office
HaMoked: Center for the Defence of the Individual